

Growth on the Horizon

November 2023

TSX: EDR | NYSE: EXK www.edrsilver.com

Cautionary Note


This presentation contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the United States private securities litigation reform act of 1995 and "forward-looking information" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. Such forward-looking statements and information herein include but are not limited to statements regarding the development and financing of the Terronera Project including: anticipated timing of the project; anticipated timing of completion of conditions precedent to drawdown under the Debt Facility, estimated project economics, Terronera's forecasted operations, costs and expenditures, and the timing and results of various related activities, Endeavour's anticipated performance in 2023 including changes in mining operations and forecasts of production levels, anticipated production costs and all-in sustaining costs and the timing and results of various activities. The Company does not intend to and does not assume any obligation to update such forward-looking statements or information, other than as required by applicable law.

Forward-looking statements or information involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, level of activity, production levels, performance or achievements of Endeavour and its operations to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such statements. Such factors include but are not limited changes in production and costs guidance; the ongoing effects of inflation and supply chain issues on mine economics; national and local governments, legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments in Canada and Mexico; financial risks due to precious metals prices; operating or technical difficulties in mineral exploration, development and mining activities; risks and hazards of mineral exploration, development; risks in obtaining necessary licenses and permits; satisfaction of conditions precedent to drawdown under the Debt Facility; the ongoing effects of inflation and supply chain issues on the Terronera Project economics; fluctuations in the prices of silver and gold, fluctuations in the currency markets (particularly the Mexican peso, Chilean peso, Canadian dollar and U.S. dollar); and challenges to the Company's title to properties; as well as those factors described in the section "risk factors" contained in the Company's most recent form 40F/Annual Information Form filed with the S.E.C. and Canadian securities regulatory authorities.

Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions management believes to be reasonable, including but not limited to: the continued operation of the Company's mining operations, no material adverse change in the market price of commodities, forecasted mine economics as of 2023, mining operations will operate and the mining products will be completed in accordance with management's expectations and achieve their stated production outcomes, and such other assumptions and factors as set out herein. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements or information, there may be other factors that cause results to be materially different from those anticipated, described, estimated, assessed or intended. There can be no assurance that any forward-looking statements or information will prove to be accurate as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements or information.




Investor Highlights





2023 Outlook – Core Assets Focused in Mexico



2023 CONSOLIDATED OUTLOOK (3,4)

5.7 – 6.3 Moz

Silver Production

36 – 40 koz Gold Production

8.6 – 9.5 Moz Silver Equivalent Production ⁽¹⁾

\$10 - \$11/oz

Cash Costs, Net of Gold Credit ⁽²⁾

\$19 - \$20/oz

All in Sustaining Costs, Net of Gold Credits ⁽²⁾

\$34.7M Sustaining Capital

\$9.3M Exploration Budget





Silver equivalent at an 80:1 gold: silver ratio
See Non-IFRS Measures disclosure in appendix
See EDR news release dated January 12, 2023 for details on consolidated guidance
See EDR news release dated November 7, 2023 - Management expects costs to be higher than 2023 guidance

3-Year Sustainability Strategy

Our Sustainability Strategy 2022-2024 centers on three main pillars - **people, planet and business** - and sets out our priorities in these areas over the next three years.



Increase positive social impacts for people:

- Achieve zero fatalities and reduce accident rate
- Build an inclusive team by increasing participation of women above the industry average to 16% in the workforce and 25% in leadership positions⁽¹⁾
- Target \$2 million in community investments over three years to support local needs in communities in Mexico

Elevate environmental stewardship of the *planet*:

- Reduce the intensity of Endeavour's emissions year-over-year and develop a climate risk response plan, including reporting on climate-related risks and opportunities in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Disclosures (TCFD) recommendations
- Maintain zero tailings spills and further align practices across the entire tailings facility lifecycle with the new global industry standard on tailings management
- Increase the number and survival rate of trees planted annually

Lead a healthy, long-term *business*:

- Expand Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) disclosures
- Continue building a caring culture through enhanced training for employees and contractors and faster resolution of concerns raised through our grievance mechanism
- Increase ESG criteria in our supplier evaluation system and ensure all suppliers comply with the Company's Code of Conduct



Recent Highlights

Q3 PRODUCTION TRACKING IN-LINE WITH GUIDANCE

- Consolidated production was 1,148,735 million oz Ag and 9,089 oz Au
- Silver equivalent⁽¹⁾ production decreased by 14% y-o-y to 1.9 million oz

TERRONERA CONSTRUCTION NEARS 40% COMPLETION

- Executed agreement for a senior secured debt facility of \$120 million ⁽⁴⁾
- Project de-risked with \$95 million invested in direct development⁽³⁾ Commitments total \$160 million; tracking in-line with optimized plan⁽³⁾

Q3 FINANCIALS IMPACTED BY ESCALATED COSTS

- Revenue of \$50 million, mine operating earnings ⁽²⁾ of \$2.7 million
- Funds were spent to advance Terronera, cash decreased to \$41 million

CONTINUED COMMITMENT TO CONTAINING COSTS

Q3 cash costs⁽²⁾ of \$17.94 per oz and all-in sustaining costs⁽²⁾ of \$29.64 per oz were escalated due to a significant production shortfall at Guanacevi, resulting from decreased productivity and increased development costs due to mine sequencing changes related to access & ventilation. Additional repair work was required due to an extended plant shutdown in Q3.


MANAGEMENT CONTINUES TO MONITOR COSTS


- Increased development is expected to continue into Q4 to allow for the mine to return to wider higher-grade ore to improve productivity
- Although cost pressures will continue, Management anticipates that cost metrics will improve from Q3 as productivity and production increase

2. See Non-IFRS Measures disclosure in appendix



4. See EDR news release dated October 10, 2023 for full details





^{1.} Silver equivalent at an 80:1 gold: silver ratio



Guanacevi Mine Durango



- Production
 - 2005 2022: 48.4M oz Ag, 120k oz Au
 - YTD Q3 2023: 3.8M oz Ag, 11.2k oz Au
- Reserves⁽¹⁾: 1.0 million tonnes at 541 g/t AgEq containing 17.4 million AgEq oz
- M&I Resources⁽¹⁾: 612k tonnes at 466 g/t AgEq containing 9.2 AgEq oz



Guanacevi – Productivity Expected to Improve in Q4

Q3 2023 PRODUCTION SHORTFALL

Reduced productivity was the result of mine sequencing changes that were initiated to improve access and ventilation, which resulted in a temporary reduction in ore grades.


EXTENDED SCHEDULED MAINTANENCE

Quarterly throughput was lower due to repairs and maintenance work that was performed on various areas of the mill to minimize future operational risk.

ESCALATED Q3 2023 COSTS


Direct costs per tonne increased by 29% from the impacts of reduced productivity associated with lower grades, maintenance and repairs, increased development, increased royalties, a stronger Peso and increased labour, power and consumables costs.

Q3 2023 DIRECT COSTS PER TONNE






AgEq Quarterly Production (oz)⁽¹⁾



Operating Trends

| | Q3 2023 | Q3 2022 | Change (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Tonnes Processed (tpd) | 1,123 | 1,062 | 6% |
| Silver Grade (gpt) | 341 | 468 | (27%) |
| Gold Grade (gpt) | 1.03 | 1.29 | (20%) |
| Cash Costs/oz ⁽²⁾ | \$20.47 | \$10.64 | 92% |
| All-in Sustaining Costs/oz (2) | \$29.06 | \$17.79 | 63% |

Guanacevi – Delivering High Grade Drill Results



Bolanitos Mine

Guanajuato



- Production
 - 2007 2022: 16.8M oz Ag, 339k oz Au
 - YTD Q3 2023: 0.4M oz Ag, 17.0k oz Au
- Reserves⁽¹⁾: 518k tonnes at 255 g/t AgEq containing 4.2 million AgEq oz
- M&I Resources⁽¹⁾: 446k tonnes at 304 g/t AgEq containing 4.5 million AgEq oz



Bolanitos – Maintaining Steady Performance

DEVELOPING NEW ORE BODIES

Focused on developing Belen vein and expanding production from Melladito vein; both new areas have multiple drill targets.


Q3 2023 PRODUCTION

Higher throughput, strong gold production and gold grades were offset by lower silver production and silver grades.


DELIVERING BREAKEVEN CASH FLOW

Despite higher operating costs, Bolanitos provides optionality to gold price and allocation of overhead costs (G&A). Additionally, exploration upside remains on the property.

Q3 2023 DIRECT COSTS PER TONNE



AgEq Quarterly Production (oz)⁽¹⁾



Operating Trends

| | Q3 2023 | Q3 2022 | Change (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------|------------|
| Tonnes Processed (tpd) | 1,206 | 1,141 | 6% |
| Silver Grade (gpt) | 37 | 43 | (14%) |
| Gold Grade (gpt) | 1.89 | 1.88 | flat |
| Cash Costs/oz ⁽²⁾ | (\$7.68) | \$6.73 | (214%) |
| All-in Sustaining Costs/oz (2) | \$35.54 | \$48.21 | (26%) |





Terronera Project Jalisco



- Endeavour's next core asset
- Construction continues on track
 - ✓ Achieved formal board approval in Q2-23

CAJA NO. 1

- ✓ Closed \$120 million debt facility in Q4-23
- 2 defined ore bodies:
 - Terronera vein
 - La Luz vein
- Proposed LOM + 10 years (optimized scenario)
- Reserves⁽¹⁾: 7.4 million tonnes at 377 g/t AgEq containing 89.4 million AgEq oz

Terronera – Mexico's Next Silver Mine in Construction

BOARD FORMALLY APPROVED CONSTRUCTION

- Endeavour's largest mine and next operating mine
- 10 years of technical work has prepared the project for construction including 6 technical reports (105,000 m of drilling)

EXPECTED TO NEARLY DOUBLE PRODUCTION

- Average annual production⁽³⁾ of 4.0 million oz Ag and 38,000 oz Au over a 10-year mine life (7.0 million oz AgEq⁽¹⁾)
- Flotation plant producing high grade bulk sulfide concentrates

HIGH GRADE & LOW COSTS DRIVE MINE PROFITS

- Shallow and thick deposit averages 374 gpt AgEq LOM
- (\$0.20) per oz cash costs^(2,3), \$2.15 per oz MAISC^(2,3)

OPTIMIZED MINE PLAN TO 2,000 TPD CAPACITY

- Improved plant design by adding a SAG mill to the grinding circuit
- Updated initial CAPEX to \$230 million to reflect increased scale, improved operating flexibility and industrywide inflation

EXECUTED PROJECT FINANCING

- Senior secured debt facility from Societe Generale & ING Capital for \$120 million⁽⁴⁾
- Completed ESG requirements, as laid out by EP4 Principles

ALMOST 100% ORGANIC GROWTH




- 1. Silver equivalent at 79:1 gold: silver ratio
- 2. See Non-IFRS Measures disclosure in appendix
- 3. Estimate from the 2023 Optimized plan, using spot pricing of \$20/oz Ag & \$1575/oz Au
- 4. See EDR news release dated October 10, 2023 for full details

Terronera – 2023 Optimized Plan Versus 2021 Feasibility Study

| Select Operating Metrics | 2021 FS ⁽¹⁾ | Optimized ⁽²⁾ |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| LOM tonnes processed (thousands) | 7,380 | 7,382 |
| Life of Mine (Years) | 12 | 10 |
| Average silver grade (g/t) | 197 | 196 |
| Average gold grade (g/t) | 2.25 | 2.13 |
| Silver equivalent grade (g/t) Base Prices | 374 | 364 |
| Avg annual Ag ounces produced (millions) | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| Avg annual Au ounces produced (thousands) | 33 | 38 |
| Avg annual Ag Eq ounces produced (millions) | 5.9 | 7.0 |
| Select Operating Metrics | | |
| LOM Sustaining Capital ⁽³⁾ (millions) | \$106 | \$88.3 |
| LOM cash cost, net of gold by-product credit $^{(3)}$ | \$0.59/ oz | (\$0.20) /oz |
| LOM AISC, net of gold by-product credit ⁽³⁾ | \$3.24/ oz | \$2.15 /oz |
| Average annual after-tax free cash flow once in production (millions) | \$40 | \$50 |

DIRECT COST PER TONNE



| CAPEX Estimate (millions) | 2023 Optimized Scenario | 2021 Feasibility Study | Variance |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Mining | \$69.9 | \$61.6 | \$8.3 |
| Processing plant | \$48.0 | \$37.8 | \$10.2 |
| Site infrastructure | \$47.6 | \$24.5 | \$23.1 |
| Total direct costs | \$165.5 | \$123.9 | \$41.6 |
| Owner costs | \$27.6 | \$21.7 | \$5.9 |
| Project indirect costs | \$19.9 | \$17.2 | \$2.7 |
| Contingency | \$17.6 | \$12.2 | \$5.4 |
| Total indirect costs | \$65.1 | \$51.1 | \$14.0 |
| Total direct & indirect | \$230.4 | \$175.0 | \$55.4 |

| Area | Description of Major Changes in Optimized Scenario | Expected Result as Compared to Feasibility Study |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Mining | Optimized mine plan & portal locations | Reduce initial UG mine access development; improve early ventilation; reduce surface haulage; allows for more flexibility in the mining phase |
| Processing plant | Increased scale of the plant and tailings from 1,700 tpd capacity to 2,000 tpd. Additional equipment including a SAG mill, concentrate regrind, and additional tailings filters were added to the flow sheet | Increased mill-run time; improved metallurgical response; improves operational flexibility; increased payable gold and silver production |
| Site infrastructure | LNG plant previously leased; now owned | Operational/ supplier flexibility |


 See Appendix for full base case assumptions in the 2021 FS. Price assumptions were \$20/ oz Ag and \$1,575 / oz Au. The optimized metrics for the 2000 tpd plant as compared to the 1700 tpd plant are nonmaterial. Price assumptions were \$20/ oz Ag and \$1,575 / oz Au.

2. See EDR news release dated April 18, 2023 for full details on the Optimized case

3. Non-IFRS Measures disclosure in appendix

Terronera - Operating Cost Comparison

C AR



Terronera – Project Schedule

INITIAL PRODUCTION IN Q4 2024

21-month construction period, including 3-6 month ramp up to full production

SENIOR SECURED DEBT FACILITY⁽¹⁾


- Principal: \$120 million
- Term: 8.5 years; grace period during construction
- Cost: SOFR + 3.75% per annum
- Requirements: Gold hedge for up to 68,000 ounces
- No hedging on the silver production

PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM

- Global construction & operating experience
 - Escobal Mine, Guatemala
 - Buritica Mine, Colombia
- Engaging with local government and state ministries to align sustainability objectives

POSITIVE SOCIAL IMPACT

- Project will employ 750 people during construction and 550 people in operations
- The 2021 feasibility study estimates over \$170 million LOM in corporate tax payments, in addition to local taxes, payroll and other fees



Terronera – Q3 2023 Construction Update



CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS NEARING 40%

- Terronera has been significantly de-risked with \$95⁽¹⁾ million spent to date; Project commitments total \$160 million⁽¹⁾
- Complete Q3 construction progress update available <u>here</u>

UNDERGROUND MINE DEVELOPMENT

- +1,300 metres of mine development completed; advancing on four fronts Portal 1, 2 & 4 (incline & decline).
- Advance rates and efficiencies improving now that Portal 2 & 4 have passed fault zone.

PLANT SITE PREPERATION

• Over 300,000m³ of material moved. Concrete work and rebar installation continued in the grinding and flotation areas. Excavation work started in the tailing thickener area.

ENGINEERING IS 97% COMPLETE

• Waste rock design, TSF, LNG & filters area almost complete

PROCURMENT OF MAJOR PLANT EQUIPMENT

- Most long-lead equipment will be received by year end
- Purchase order contracts were executed for structural steel

SITE ROAD ACCESS IS NOW ESTABLISHED

• Following extensive improvements during the year, equipment access and deliveries were unaffected during rainy season



Grinding area will be ready for mechanical installation towards the end of Q4




R E 1. As at September 30, 2023

Terronera - Exploration Opportunities

de Hija


TERRONERA PROJECT SURFACE VIEW

- Endeavour controls 25 concessions totaling 20k hectares
- +50 mines on +50 known veins
- Thick veins widths averaging 1-30 meters thick
- High-grade averaging 400 1,000 gpt Ag Eq




TERRONERA VEIN

- The Terronera vein holds over 90% of the defined reserve
- Inferred envelope is open along strike and at depth



LA LUZ VEIN

- The La Luz vein is almost entirely high grade and relatively shallow for access
- It is will be mined early to optimize project economics



BLOCK : AGEQ

0.000 <= < 150.000

150.000 <= 250.000 <=

400.000 <=

500.000 <=

< 250.000

< 400.000

< 500.000

< 12000.000



Pitarrilla Project, Durango



- Acquired from SSR Mining in July 2022
- Silver dominant project with extensive historical database and comprehensive work completed to date :
 - SSR invested \$140 million to advance the project since 2002
 - +225,000 metres in drilling
 - 2 technical reports by previous owners



Pitarrilla – One of the World's Largest Undeveloped Silver Projects

ENHANCES ORGANIC GROWTH PROFILE

- Complimentary to regional existing platform in Mexico
- Provides both open pit and underground optionality
- Maintains growth portfolio geared towards silver
- Strong exploration potential along prolific mineral belt

EXCELLENT INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE

- Road access
- Connected to power grid and water source
- Exploration and contractor camps
- Access to utilities and a well-trained workforce

KEY PERMITS IN PLACE

- Water use and discharge
- General use of explosives
- Change of soil
- Underground mining and development approved through Environmental Impact Statement
- Collaboration agreements with local community


NEXT STEPS

- Endeavour will spend \$3.1 million in 2023 for drilling, development and fortification costs to advance a 1km long tunnel that will be used as a drill platform.
- The company plans to drill 5,000m to test the UG manto

ORGANIC GROWTH POTENTIAL SUPPORTED BY LARGE RESOURCE



- Measured & Indicated
- Proven & Probable




Endeavour Silver (without Pitarrilla) ^(1,2)







Pitarrilla – Opportunity & History of the Project



Pitarrilla – Summary of Historically Contemplated Operations

2009 PFS^(1,3) MODELLED ON AN UNDERGROUND MINE

- 4,000 tpd mining operation over 12-year mine life
- Targets sulphides beyond the limits of conceptual OP mine
- Mining method included room & pillar and long hole
- Base case prices were: \$11/ oz Ag, \$0.70/ lb Zn & \$0.50/ oz Pb

| Key LOM Metrics | Ag ⁴ |
|--|-----------------|
| Average Head Grade (gpt) (M&I at 89 gpt cutoff for UG) | 171 |
| Average Annual Payable Production (M oz) | 6.4 |
| Average Contained Metal (M oz) (M&I for UG) | 193.5 |
| Initial CAPEX (millions) | \$277 |

2012 FS (2,3) MODELLED AN OPEN PIT MINE


- 28,000 tpd mining operation (float & leach) over 32-year mine life
- Targets sulphides, oxides and transition zones

CAR

- Mining method included standard truck and shovel
- Base case prices were: \$25/ oz Ag, \$0.90/ lb Zn & \$0. 95/ oz Pb

| Key LOM Metrics | Ag ⁴ |
|---|-------|
| Average Head Grade gpt (measured at 30 gpt cut-off) | 95.2 |
| Average Annual Production (M oz) (first 18 years) | 15 |
| Average Contained Metal (M oz) (flotation & leach) | 478.7 |
| Initial CAPEX (millions) | \$741 |

ENDEAVOUR SILVER IS EVALUATING THE BUSINESS CASE FOR AN UNDERGROUND MINE, FOCUSED ON THE HIGH-GRADE CORE OF THE DEPOSIT



- I. Metrics from the Silver Standard NI 43-101 Technical Report entitled "Pitarrilla Property Pre-Feasibility Study", dated September 21, 2009
- 2. Metrics from the Silver Standard NI 43-101 Technical Report entitled "Technical Report on the Pitarrilla Project", dated Dec 14, 2012
- 3. The economic analysis presented in the Technical Reports are not considered current and therefore should not be relied upon and should not be considered as representing the expected economic outcome under Endeavour's ownership.
- 4. Lead and Zinc are by-products; only silver is shown in this table



Parral Project, Chihuahua



• Acquired Parral from SSR Mining in 2016

- Located in prolific silver mining district
 - 4 distinct mineralized vein systems
 - Veta Colorado
 - San Patricio
 - Palmilla
 - Cometa



Parral – Potential Core Asset

HISTORIC SILVER PRODUCING DISTRICT

- Existing development / access to ore from past producers
- Historic annual production was 4 million Ag oz until 1990 from the Veta Colorada mine
- Palmilla highest grade silver mine in Mexico in 1800s, provided silver to Mexican mint


DISTRICT SCALE OPPPORTUNITY

- Endeavour controls 4 historic mines on multiple veins totaling 3,450 hectares or 35 km²
- District contains several known deposits including Santa Barbara, San Francisco, Cordero and La Cigarra

CURRENT EXPLORATION PROGRAM

- Objective: Define mineral resource of 60 million oz Ag Eq followed by a PEA to model production
- Recent drill program focused on resource expansion at depth and along strike in the San Patricio vein system
- Recent drill results include:
 - 508 gpt Ag, 0.11 gpt Au, 0.45% Pb and 1.60% Zn for 586 gpt AgEq⁽¹⁾ over a 2.33 m ETW (SPT-47)
 - 336 gpt Ag, 0.28 gpt Au, 1.04% Pb and 2.55% Zn for 477 gpt AgEq ⁽¹⁾ over a 2.5 m ETW (SPT-38)

SURFACE VIEW – 4 MINERALIZED AREAS





Silver equivalents are calculated using the formula: Ag (gpt) + [Au (gpt) X Au Price / Ag Price] + [Pb (%) X 2204.6 X Pb Price / Ag Price X 31.1] + [Zn (%) X 2204.6 X Zn Price / Ag Price X 31.1]

Sector Leading Organic Growth Strategy

| OPERATIONS 2023E production | Guanacevi 5.2 – 5.7 Moz Ag, 15 – 17 koz Au | | Bolanitos 0.5 – 0.6 Moz Ag, 21 – 23 koz Au | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|----------------------|
| DEVE | | erronera lext core asset in Jalisco, near f 'allarta on 200 km² land packag | Puerto | M production of 7.0 million oz AgEq er the next few years (79:1 silver: gold)) | |
| | EXPLORATION | Parral Historic silver minit | ng district One | of largest undeveloped r projects globally | TSX: EDR |
| | DISCOVER | Brune Gold pre | e r oject in Nevada | Aida Low sulfidation epithermal Ag | TSX: EDR NYSE: EXK |
| | | Historic silver minin Brune | ng district One silve | of largest undeveloped r projects globally Aida | |

Shareholder Information

| CAPITAL STRUCTURE | | KEY DATA | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Issued/ outstanding | 199.7 million | Exchange listings | NYSE:EXK, TSX:EDR, FSE:EJD |
| Fully diluted | 204.5 million | Working capital | US\$75.9 million |
| Recent closing price – NYSE * | US\$2.43 | Cash position | US\$41.0 million |
| Market capitalization* | US\$486 million | Major shareholders | Van Eck (GDXJ + GDX) |
| 52-week high / low | US\$4.55/ \$2.04 | * As at September 30, 2023 | |
| 90-day average daily share volume on NYSE | ~2.7 million | | |

* As at September 30, 2023

SECTOR LEADING BETA TO SILVER⁽¹⁾

Expressed as weekly frequency as compared to the GSCI Silver Index




ANALYST COVERAGE

| B Riley FBR | Lucas Pipes |
|---------------------|------------------|
| BMO Capital Markets | Kevin O'Halloran |
| CIBC World Markets | Cosmos Chiu |
| Global Alliance | Jake Sekelsky |
| PI Financial | Justin Stevens |
| H.C. Wainwright | Heiko Ihle |
| TD Securities | Craig Hutchison |



Focused on Becoming a Premier Senior Silver Producer



growth potential

safety



Appendix

Find us on social:



D

y

(in)

@edrsilvercorp

@EDRSilverCorp

Endeavour Silver Corp.

@EDRSilverCorp

Endeavour Silver Corp.

Contact: Galina Meleger, VP of Investor Relations

2022 Sustainability Highlights



PEOPLE

30

Average training

28%

decline in the reportable injury rate, from 2021 and continuing a 4-year downward trend

155

hours provided to

employees and contractors

scholarships were awarded to students to enhance education \$433K

contributed to help build resilient and thriving communities where we operate



PLANET

90%

water recycling and reuse rate in our operations, minimizing our use of fresh water.

78%

diverted overall waste from landfills

61,905

trees planted in reforestation projects

INAGURAL CLIMATE REPORT

performed climate scenario analysis and published TCFD report



BUSINESS

100%

of our employees completed training on our Code of Business Conduct & Ethics

\$220M

spent on goods and services, 90% of which was procured locally within Mexico

POLICY

30%

set target of woman representation on the Board strengthened our commitment & provided specialized training

HUMAN RIGHTS



Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Estimates

| | Tonnes (000s) | Ag g/t | Au g/t | Ag Eq g/t | Ag oz (000s) | Au oz (000s) | Ag Eq Oz (000s) |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Guanaceví | 311 | 527 | 1.45 | 643 | 5,274 | 14.5 | 6,435 |
| Bolanitos | 169 | 65 | 2.60 | 273 | 352 | 14.1 | 1,479 |
| Total Proven | 480 | 365 | 1.85 | 513 | 5,626 | 28.6 | 7,914 |
| Guanacevi | 690 | 408 | 1.09 | 495 | 9,052 | 24.3 | 10,995 |
| Bolanitos | 350 | 64 | 2.27 | 246 | 723 | 25.6 | 2,768 |
| Terronera | 7,380 | 197 | 2.25 | 374 | 46,707 | 534.0 | 88,834 |
| Total Probable | 8,420 | 209 | 2.16 | 379 | 56,482 | 583.9 | 102,597 |
| Total Proven & Probable | 8,900 | 217 | 2.14 | 386 | 62,108 | 612.5 | 110,511 |

| Silver-Gold Measured and Indicated Resources (as of December 31, 2022) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Ag g/t | Au g/t | Ag Eq g/t | Ag oz (000s) | Au oz (000s) | Ag Eq Oz (000s) |
| Guanaceví | 165 | 538 | 1.37 | 647 | 2,860 | 7.3 | 3,440 |
| Bolañitos | 91 | 75 | 3.24 | 334 | 219 | 9.4 | 974 |
| Total Measured | 256 | 374 | 2.03 | 537 | 3,079 | 16.7 | 4,414 |
| Guanaceví | 446 | 335 | 0.79 | 399 | 4,813 | 11.3 | 5,720 |
| Bolañitos | 355 | 107 | 2.36 | 296 | 1,216 | 27.0 | 3,374 |
| Parral | 433 | 271 | 0.00 | 271 | 3,773 | 0 | 3,773 |
| Total Indicated | 1,234 | 247 | 0.97 | 324 | 9,802 | 38.3 | 12,866 |
| Total Measured & Indicated | 1,490 | 269 | 1.15 | 361 | 12,881 | 55.0 | 17,280 |

| Silver-Gold Inferred Mineral Rea | sources (as of December 31, 2022) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Tonnes (000s) | Ag g/t | Au g/t | Ag Eq g/t | Ag oz (000s) | Au oz (000s) | Ag Eq Oz (000s) | | |
| Guanaceví | 711 | 460 | 0.95 | 535 | 10,506 | 21.7 | 12,239 | | |
| Bolañitos | 1,230 | 143 | 2.11 | 312 | 5,642 | 83.4 | 12,315 | | |
| Terronera | 1058 | 212 | 2.50 | 400 | 7,214 | 85.0 | 13,601 | | |
| Parral | 3,180 | 322 | 0.21 | 339 | 32,938 | 21.7 | 34,677 | | |
| Total Inferred | 6,179 | 283 | 1.07 | 367 | 56,300 | 211.8 | 72,831 | | |



Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Estimates (continued)

| | Tonnes (000s) | Ag g/t | Au g/t | Ag Eq g/t | Ag oz (000s) | Au oz (000s) | Ag Eq Oz (000s) | Pb% | Zn% | Cu% |
|---|---------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|------|------|------|
| Guanaceví | 363 | 208 | 0.26 | 229 | 2,421 | 3.1 | 2,670 | 0.78 | 1.32 | - |
| Pitarrilla In Pit (Oxide & Transition) | 133,900 | 87 | 0.00 | 112 | 375,100 | 0.0 | 483,200 | 0.19 | 0.48 | - |
| Pitarrilla Underground (Sulphide) | 24,800 | 146 | 0.00 | 264 | 116,500 | 0.0 | 210,700 | 1.01 | 2.14 | - |
| Parral (Cometa) | 180 | 55 | 1.17 | 149 | 320 | 6.8 | 860 | 3.20 | 3.30 | - |
| Calicanto | 360 | 146 | 0.97 | 224 | 1,690 | 11.0 | 2,600 | 3.19 | 4.17 | 0.11 |
| Total Indicated | 159,603 | 97 | 0.00 | 136 | 496,031 | 20.9 | 700,030 | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guanaceví | 488 | 132 | 0.16 | 145 | 2,076 | 2.5 | 2,272 | 1.36 | 2.54 | - |
| Pitarrilla In Pit (Oxide & Transition) | 25,600 | 76 | - | 100 | 63,000 | - | 82,700 | 0.14 | 0.48 | - |
| ^D itarrilla Underground (Sulphide) | 9,800 | 115.5 | - | 218 | 36,400 | - | 68,600 | 0.93 | 1.8 | - |
| Parral (Cometa) | 880 | 74 | 1.45 | 190 | 2,100 | 41.0 | 5,376 | 3.27 | 3.24 | - |
| Calicanto | 268 | 111 | 1.01 | 192 | 960 | 9.0 | 1,650 | 2.65 | 3.73 | 0.09 |
| Total Inferred | 37,036 | 88 | 0.04 | 135 | 104,536 | 52.5 | 160,598 | 0.46 | 0.95 | 0.00 |



Notes to Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Tables

Notes

- 1 Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that any or all part of the Mineral Resources will be converted into Mineral Reserves. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- 2 The Inferred Mineral Resource in this estimate has a lower level of confidence than that applied to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of the Inferred Mineral Resource could be upgraded to an Indicated Mineral Resource with continued exploration.
- 3 The Mineral Resources in this estimate were calculated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.
- 4 Mineral Resources are exclusive of and in addition to Mineral Reserves.
- 5 Guanacevi Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve cut-off grades are based on a 201 g/t silver equivalent for Santa Cruz Sur of Guanacevi and 207 g/t silver equivalent for Santa Cruz, 207 g/t silver equivalent for Milache and 254 g/t silver equivalent for Ocampo and Porvenir Norte of Guanacevi; Metallurgical recoveries were 87.4% silver and 91.8% gold for Guanacevi
- 6 The cutoff grade applied for resource calculation at the regional polymetallic projects at Guanacevi (Noche Buena and Buena Fe) is 202g/t silver equivalent. The silver equivalent is based on the calculated NSR for each element based on the following price assumptions US\$16.29/oz for silver, US\$1,195/oz for gold, US\$0.82/lb for lead and US\$0.90/lb for zinc.
- 7 Bolañitos Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve cut-off grades are based on 161 g/t silver equivalent for Golondrinas, Belen and Veta Madre, 152 g/t silver equivalent for La Luz Ramp, 161 g/t Silver equivalent for the Lucero Ramp and 154 g/t silver equivalent for San Miguel ramp area. Metallurgical recoveries were 87.3% silver and 90.7% gold for Bolañitos silver and 90.1% gold for Bolañitos
- 8 Terronera mineral reserves are reported using a silver equivalency cut-off formula AgEq (g/t) = Ag (g/t) + (Au (g/t) x 78.9474). Cut-off grade varies between 156 g/t to 200 g/t AgEq depending on mining method. Metal prices used were \$1,500/oz Au and \$19.00/oz Ag. Metallurgical recovery of 84.9% for silver and 79.8% for gold, transport, treatment and refining charges of \$0.75/oz Ag, and NSR royalties of 2.5%. Mineral Reserves are reported based on mining costs of \$30.00/t for sub-level open stoping, \$49.18/t for cut and fill, and \$48.00/t for shrinkage mining, and \$28.46/t for process costs, and \$8.49/t for G&A costs.
- 9 Terronera mineral resources are constrained within a wireframe constructed at a nominal 150 g/t AuEq cut-off grade. A 150 g/t AgEq cut-off grade considers Wood's guidance on industry consensus for long term silver and gold prices for Mineral Resource estimation, metallurgical performance, mining, processing, and site G&A operating costs, treatment and refining charges, and royalties. Mineral Resources are stated as in-situ with no consideration for planned or unplanned external mining dilution. The silver and gold ounces estimates presented in the Mineral Resource estimate table have not been adjusted for metallurgical recoveries.
- 10 Parral Mineral Resources are estimated at a cut-off grade of 130 g/t AgEq for Palmilla, Veta Colorada, and San Patricio, 200 g/t Ag for Sierra Plata, and an NSR cut-off value of US\$55/t for El Cometa. The NSR and AgEq values are based on estimated metallurgical recoveries, assumed metal prices and smelter terms, which include payable factors, treatment charges, penalties, and refining charges. Metal price assumptions were: US\$17/oz Ag, US\$1,275/oz Au, US\$1.15/lb Zn, and US\$1.00/lb Pb

A minimum mining width of 1.5 m was used for Sierra Plata, and 1.75 m for all other veins.

- 11 Calicanto Mineral Resources are estimated at a cut-off value of US\$200 VIT (value in-situ) for Calicanto, Santa Fe and Misie veins areas. The VIT values are based on metal price assumptions of: US\$23.98/oz Ag, US\$1,815/oz Au, US\$3.5/lb Cu, US\$0.94/lb Pb, and US\$1.25/lb Zn. A minimum mining width of 1.0 m was used.
- 12 Mining recovery of 91% was applied for Guanaceví; 93% for Bolañitos, and 95% for Terronera for Mineral Reserve Estimate calculations. Minimum mining widths were 0.8 metres for Mineral Reserve Estimate calculations.
- 13 Dilution factors for Mineral Reserve Estimate calculations averaged 35.5% for Guanaceví, 37.3% for Bolañitos, and 20% for Terronera. For current operations dilution factors are based on vein width diluted to width of drive for lateral sill preparation (generally >30%) and internal stope dilution calculations and external dilution factors of 24% for cut and fill mining and 40% for long hole mining.
- 14 Silver equivalent grades are based on a 80:1 silver:gold ratio and calculated including only silver and gold. Silver equivalent grades for Terronera are based on a 78:9474 silver:gold ratio and calculated including only silver and gold.
- 15 Indicated and Inferred Silver-Gold Mineral Resources for "Parral" includes the Colorada, Palmilla and San Patricio areas.
- 16 The Veta Colorada structure (Parral) does not contain gold on an economic scale.
- 17 Price assumptions for Guanaceví and Bolañitos, are US\$23/oz for silver, US\$1,725/oz for gold.
- 18 Figures in tables are rounded to reflect estimate precision; small differences generated by rounding are not material to the estimates.



Notes to Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Tables (continued)

Notes on Pitarilla Resource Estimate:

- 19 The classification of the current Mineral Resource Estimate into Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources is consistent with current 2014 CIM Definition Standards For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves.
- 20 All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and numbers may not add due to rounding.
- 21 All Mineral Resources are presented undiluted and in situ, constrained by continuous 3D wireframe models, and are considered to have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.
- 22 Mineral Resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Resource. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
- 23 It is envisioned that parts of the Pitarrilla deposit (oxide and transition mineralization) may be mined using open pit mining methods. In-pit mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq within a conceptual pit shell, which has been limited to the base of the transition mineralization.
- 24 The results from the pit optimization are used solely for the purpose of testing the "reasonable prospects for economic extraction" by an open pit and do not represent an attempt to estimate mineral reserves. There are no mineral reserves on the Property. The results are used as a guide to assist in the preparation of a Mineral Resource statement and to select an appropriate resource reporting cut-off grade.
- 25 It is envisioned that parts of the Pitarrilla deposit (sulphide mineralization) may be mined using underground mining methods. Underground (below-pit) Mineral Resources are estimated from the bottom of the pit (base of transition mineralization) and are reported at a base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t AgEq. The underground Mineral Resource grade blocks were quantified above the base case cut-off grade, below the constraining pit shell and within the constraining mineralized wireframes. At this base case cut-off grade the deposit shows good deposit continuity with limited orphaned blocks. Any orphaned blocks are connected within the models by lower grade blocks and are included in the Mineral Resource estimate.
- 26 Based on the size, shape, location and orientation of the Pitarrilla deposit, it is envisioned that the deposit may be mined using low cost underground bulk mining methods (i.e. longhole mining).
- 27 High grade capping of Ag, Pb and Zn was done on 1.50 metre composite data.
- 28 Bulk density values were determined based on physical test work from each deposit model and waste model.
- AgEq Cut-off grades consider metal prices of \$22.00/oz Ag, \$1.00/lb Pb and \$1.30/lb Zn and considers variable metal recoveries for Ag, Pb and Zn: oxide and transition mineralization 75% for silver, 70% for Pb and 65% for Zn; sulphide mineralization 86% for silver, 91% for Pb and 85% for Zn.
- 30 The pit optimization and in-pit base case cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq considers a mining cost of US\$2.50/t rock and processing, treatment and refining, transportation and G&A cost of US\$22.40/t mineralized material, an overall pit slope of 42° for oxide and 48° for transition and metal recoveries. The below-pit base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t AgEq considers a mining cost of US\$46.50/t rock and processing, treatment and refining, transportation and G&A cost of US\$30.90/t mineralized material.
- 31 The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- 32 The database used for the current mineral resource estimate comprises data for 804 surface reverse circulation and diamond drill holes completed in the deposit area, which total 254,386 metres. The database totals 134,441 assay intervals for 188,816 metres.
- 33 The mineral resource estimate is based on 19 three-dimensional ("3D") resource models representing oxide, transition and sulphide mineralization, as well as 9 lithological 3D solids and a digital elevation surface model. The main Pitarrilla deposit generally strikes 330° to 335° and dips/plunges steeply east-northeast (-60° to -65°). The oxide mineralization in the Cordon Colorado and Javelina Creek Zones extend for 700 to 900 metres southwest and northeast of the main Breccia Ridge Zone.
- 34 Silver, lead and zinc were estimated for each mineralization domain in the Pitarrilla deposit. Blocks within each mineralized domain were interpolated using 1.5 metres capped composites assigned to that domain. To generate grade within the blocks, the inverse distance squared (ID 2) interpolation method was used for all domains.



Qualified Persons

Guanacevi and Bolanitos

The Technical Reports are entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report: Updated Mineral Resource and Reserve Estimates for the Guanaceví Project, Durango State, Mexico" (the "2022 Guanacevi Report") with an effective date of November 5, 2022, and "NI 43-101 Technical Report: Updated Mineral Resource and Reserve Estimates for the Bolañitos Project, Guanajuato State, Mexico" (the "2022 Bolañitos Report") with an effective date of November 9, 2022 and are co-authored by Dale Mah, P.Geo., Vice President, Corporate Development of Endeavour, Don Gray, SME-RM, Chief Operations Officer of Endeavour and Richard A. Schwering, SME-RM of Hard Rock Consulting LLC. Mr. Schwering is independent of Endeavour. Both reports were filed on SEDAR and EDGAR on January 26, 2023. These authors are Qualified Persons as defined by NI 43-101 and are responsible for all sections of the Technical Reports.

Terronera Project

The 2021 feasibility study technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Feasibility Study of the Terronera Project, Jalisco State, Mexico ("Technical Report")", prepared by Wood PLC and dated October 21, 2021 with an effective date of September 9, 2021. The Technical Report was authored in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 was filed on SEDAR and EDGAR on October 25, 2021. The FS team includes Wood PLC QPs, Tatiana Alva, P.Geo., William Bagnell, P.Eng., Alan Drake, P.Eng., Kirk Hanson, P.Eng. and Humberto Preciado, P.Eng., who are the Independent Qualified Persons for the 2021 FS and who have prepared the scientific and technical information on the Terronera project and reviewed the information that is summarized in this document. The qualified persons preparing the FS have followed industry accepted practices for verifying that the data used in the study is suitable for the purposes used. Site visits by three of the qualified persons (including Dale Mah from Endeavour and Tatiana Alva and Humberto Preciado from Wood) is part of the data verification procedures. A more detailed description of data verification undertaken by the qualified persons is included in the relevant sections of technical report.

Parral Project

The Parral Technical Report is entitled "Technical Report on the Parral Project, State of Chihuahua, Mexico", prepared by Roscoe Postle Associates Inc. (RPA), now part of SLR Consulting Ltd. (SLR) and dated March 14, 2020. Mineral Resources disclosed in this presentation have been estimated by Mr. Jose Texidor Carlsson, P.Geo., an employee of RPA and independent of Endeavour. By virtue of his education and relevant experience, Mr. Texidor Carlsson is a "Qualified Person" for the purpose of National Instrument 43-101. The Mineral Resources have been classified in accordance with CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (May 2014).

Pitarrilla Project

The Pitarrilla Technical Report is dated November 21, 2022 and entitled "Mineral Resource Estimate for the Pitarrilla Ag-Pb-Zn Project, Durango State, Mexico" (the "2022 Pitarrilla Report") with an effective date of October 6, 2022. The 2022 Pitarrilla Report was prepared on behalf of the Company by SGS Geological Services Inc. ("SGS"), and authored by Allan Armitage, Ph.D., P. Geo., ("Armitage") of SGS Geological Services. Mr. Armitage is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and is responsible for the Mineral Resource Estimate and all sections of the technical report.



2023 Optimized Plan (2,000 tpd) Compared to 2021 FS

Updated Development Plans and Initial Capital Costs Summary

| Area | 202 | 1 Feasibility S | tudy | 2023 | Revised Sce | nario | Life of Mine | % Change |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| (millions) | Initial Capex | Sustaining | LOM | Initial Capex | Sustaining | LOM | Variance | |
| Mining | \$61.6 | \$102.5 | \$164.1 | \$69.9 | \$88.3 | \$158.1 | (\$6.0) | (4%) |
| Mineral Processing Plant | \$37.8 | \$3.1 | \$40.9 | \$48.0 | | \$48.0 | \$5.0 | 17% |
| Site Infrastructure | \$24.5 | | \$47.6 | \$47.6 | | \$47.6 | \$21.7 | 94% |
| Total Direct Costs | \$123.9 | \$105.6 | \$229.5 | \$165.5 | \$88.3 | \$253.7 | \$24.1 | 11% |
| Owner Costs | \$21.7 | | \$21.7 | \$27.6 | | \$27.6 | \$5.9 | 27% |
| Project Indirect Costs | \$17.2 | | \$17.2 | \$19.9 | | \$19.9 | \$2.7 | 16% |
| Contingency | \$12.2 | | \$12.2 | \$17.6 | | \$17.6 | \$5.4 | 45% |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$51.1 | | \$51.1 | \$65.1 | | \$65.1 | \$14.0 | 27% |
| Total (Direct & Indirect) | \$175.0 | \$105.6 | \$280.6 | \$230.4 | \$88.3 | \$318.7 | \$38.1 | 14% |

Detailed View - 2,000 TPD Plant Compared to 2021 FS

| | 2023 | 2021 | % Change |
|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Silver Price | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0% |
| Gold Price | 1,575 | 1,575 | 0% |
| Silver: Gold Ratio | 79 | 79 | 0% |
| Operating Statistics | | | |
| LOM Tonnes Processed LOM (thousands) | 7,382 | 7,380 | 0% |
| Life of Mine (Years) | 10.0 | 12.0 | (17%) |
| Average silver grade (g/t) | 196 | 197 | (1%) |
| Average gold grade (g/t) | 2.13 | 2.25 | (5%) |
| Silver equivalent grade (g/t) | 364 | 374 | (3%) |
| Average silver recovery | 89.5% | 87.7% | 2% |
| Average gold recovery | 78.7% | 76.3% | 2% |
| LOM payable Ag ounces produced (millions) | 39.9 | 39.3 | 0% |
| LOM payable Au ounces produced (thousands) | 384 | 393 | (2%) |
| LOM payable Ag Eq ounces produced (millions) | 69.7 | 70.3 | (1%) |
| Avg annual payable Ag ounces produced (millions) | 4.0 | 3.3 | 20% |
| Avg annual payable Au ounces produced (thousands) | 38 | 33 | 17% |
| Avg annual payable Ag Eq ounces produced (millions) | 7.0 | 5.9 | 18% |
| Capital Expenditure Statistics | | | |
| Initial Capital Expenditure (millions) | 230.4 | 175.0 | 32% |
| Process Capacity (tonnes per day) | 1,990 | 1,700 | 17% |
| LOM Sustaining Capital | 88.3 | 105.6 | (16%) |
| Total LOM Project Capital | 318.7 | 280.6 | 14% |
| Operating Cost Metrics | | | |
| LOM Gross Revenue (millions) | 1,403.2 | 1,406.2 | (0%) |
| LOM Gross COS (millions) | 596.4 | 642.5 | (7%) |
| LOM EBITDA (millions) | 806.9 | 756.6 | 7% |
| After Tax LOM Free Cash Flow (millions) | 316.9 | 311.4 | 2% |
| Cash costs by Product (per silver ounce) | (0.20) | 0.59 | (134%) |
| All in sustaining (per silver ounce) | 2.15 | 3.24 | (34%) |
| Cash costs by Silver Equivalent (per silver ounce) | 8.50 | 9.14 | (7%) |
| All in sustaining Silver equivalents (per silver ounce) | 9.84 | 10.62 | (7%) |
| Total Direct Production Costs (per Tonne) | 80.43 | 87.06 | (8%) |
| Mining Costs (per tonne) | 29.26 | 30.96 | (7%) |
| Processing Costs (per tonne) | 23.38 | 25.47 | (8%) |
| General and Administrative (per tonne) | 9.32 | 10.90 | (14%) |
| Treatment & Refining Charges (per tonne) | 14.36 | 15.26 | (6%) |
| Royalty Costs (per tonne) | 4.47 | 4.46 | (0%) |



Non-IFRS Measures

Endeavour Silver reports non-IFRS measures which include cash costs net of by-product revenue on a payable silver basis, total production costs per ounce, all-in sustaining costs per ounce, direct production costs per tonne, silver co-product cash costs and gold co-product cash costs in order to manage and evaluate operating performance at each of the Company's mines. These measures, some established by the Silver Institute (Production Cost Standards, June 2011), are widely used in the silver mining industry as a benchmark for performance, but do not have a standardized meaning and are reported on a production basis.

Full reconciliation and definitions of each of these measures are provided in the Company's quarterly Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) as filed on SEDAR and posted on the company website.

Cash costs ("Cash costs") per ounce - Cash costs per ounce is a non-IFRS measure. In the silver mining industry, this metric is a common performance measure that does not have a standardized meaning under IFRS. Cash costs include direct costs (including smelting, refining, transportation and selling costs), royalties and special mining duty and changes in finished goods inventory net of gold credits. For the purpose of the FS, special mining duty has not been included in cash costs but is included in tax expense.

Mine Site - All-in sustaining costs ("MAISC") and All-in sustaining cost("AISC") per ounce - MAISC and AISC per ounce are non- IFRS measures. These measures are intended to assist readers in evaluating the total cost of producing silver from operations. While here is no standardized meaning across the industry for AISC measures, the Company's definition conforms to the definition of AISC as set out by the World Gold Council and used as a standard of the Silver Institute. The Company defines AISC as the cash operating costs (as defined above), reclamation cost accretion, mine site expensed exploration, corporate general and administration costs and sustaining capital expenditures. For the purpose of the FS, MAISC does not include corporate general and administration costs.

Free cash flow - In the mining industry, free cash flow is a common performance measure with no standardized meaning. The Company calculates free cash flow by deducting cash capital spending (capital expenditures, net of expenditures paid through finance leases) from net cash provided by operating activities. The Company discloses free cash flow as it believes the measure provides valuable assistance to inventors and analysts in evaluating the Company's ability to generate cash flow after capital investments and build the cash resources of the Company.

Initial and sustaining capital - Initial and sustaining capital are non-IFRS measures. Initial capital is defined as capital required to develop and construct to bring the mine to commercial production and sustaining capital is defined as the capital required to maintain operations at existing levels. Both measurements are used by management to assess the effectiveness of an investment program.

Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization ("EBITDA") - EBITDA represents net earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is an indicator of the Company's ability to generate liquidity by producing operating cash flow to fund working capital needs, service debt obligations, and fund capital expenditures.

Gross revenue and Gross cost of sales - Gross revenue represents gross sales of silver and gold and is calculated by adjusting net revenue for the removal of treatment, refining and transportation costs. Gross cost of sales is calculated by adjusting cost of sales for the inclusion of treatment, refining and transportation costs.

Other examples of Non-IFRS Measures for which definitions and reconciliations are provided for in the Company's quarterly Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) include operating cash flow before working capital changes, adjusted net earnings, and working capital.

For further details on non-IFRS financial measures, review the Company's MD&A, which is filed with its financial statements on SEDAR at <u>www.sedar.com</u> and on Edgar at <u>www.sec.gov</u>



Management Team



Luis Castro, Ing., Geo. VP Exploration **Dale Mah**, B.Sc., P.Geo. VP Corporate Development Galina Meleger, VP Investor Relations



Board or Directors



Rex McLennan, B.Sc., MBA, ICD.D Chairman Corp. Gov. & Nom. Committee Chair



Dan Dickson, CEO CPA, CA Director



Margaret Beck B.Sc. Audit Committee Chair



Ricardo Campoy B.Sc. Comp. Committee Chair



Amy E. Jacobsen QP, P.E., MBA Director

I C A R E



Ken Pickering P. Eng Sustainability Committee Chair



Mario Szotlender, BIR Director



Christine West, CPA, CGA CFO

Shareholder & Liquidity Breakdown

| OWNERSHIP SUMMARY (1) | Other , 9% | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|
| Insiders, 445,088,0% | Canada, 6% South Korea , 7% | |
| | Germany, 8% | |
| | UK,12% | |
| Unidentified/ Retail, 139,356,800, 73% | USA, 58% | |
| Shares Traded in 2022 | | |
| Total in Canadian Markets (TSX & other) | 190,083,060 sha | ares |
| Total in American Markets (NYSE & other) | 833,090,198 sha | ares |
| Total in Europe (Germany, Tradegate, Frankfurt & other) | 20,219,000 sha | res |
| Total | 1,043,392,000 sh | ares |
| Shares I/O – basic | 189.6 million | 1 |
| Share Turnover | 5.5X | |
| Average Daily Volume Globally | 4.1 million | |

| TOP INSTITUTIONAL HOLDER | S (1) |
|--|--------------|
| /an Eck Associates Corporation | |
| ETF Managers Group | |
| Mirae Asset Global Investments | |
| Jupiter Fund Management | |
| S&P TSX Composite Index | |
| Serafin | |
| Konwave Technologies | |
| Renaissance Technologies | |
| AuAg Funds | |
| Dimensional Fund Advisors | |
| Stabilitas | |
| TD Asset Management | |
| BMO Asset Management | |
| CIBC Asset Management | |
| Public Sector Pension Investment Board | |

C A R E 1. Information presented as at September 30, 2022

3

